# The Science Behind Instream Flow Laws



Tom Annear Water Management Supervisor Wyoming Game & Fish Dept tom.annear@wyo.gov





#### www.instreamflowcouncil.org

# **Session Format**

 Elements of instream flow – context
 Riparian doctrine principles and considerations

 Appropriation doctrine principles and considerations





Water, land, and people are intimately connected

"When you pull on one string in nature, you find it is connected to everything else" John Muir

# All water users strive for certainty and control

Weather variability patterns, water availability and <u>changing</u> human values and needs complicate things



#### Projected Upper Colorado River Flows vs. Population Growth in Major Lower Basin Metropolitan Areas



Source: McCabe and Wolock 2007



# Effective water management is more than building "buckets and pipes"



# Instream flow isn't an issue of <u>JUST</u> how many fish are in the river (or lake)







#### It's an issue of world view and quality of life.

2

### What is instream flow?



#### **Instream Flow Can Mean:**



Water in the creek but no regulatory mechanism

						THE STATE OF WYOMING Certificate of Appropriation of Water						roef Ko. <u>37547</u> entificate Record Ko. <u>81</u> Page <u>127</u> entificate Record Ko. <u>81</u> Page <u>127</u>	
WIE	EAS, Vez	aing Ka	ter Dovelopment Com	lester.			her	arounted.	te the Bare of	CHECHE AR 2	e State of Myoeing pro	ef of the appropriati	
of water for	in the form	0.00 A 14	er, tributary fallow	tione River									
	100000			through th	te Targa River Jest	tan Flow Segure 1							
under Permi	m. 1.		7			street floy benin a	seried, 1	ving and b	eing in	21	ridan	Country, Wysels	
NOW	KNOW IE.	that it	he State Board of O	etrol, w	der the provisions o	the Statutes of Myor	ing, tar,	by se and	er dity node o		Rugat 23, 1998	and entered	
ksyseber	5, 7798	11.00	der Record _51_ P	- <u>11</u> - 12	determined and excels	labed the priority as	d searce o	1 1LC1 100	ropristion as I	allows:			
Katter and Ad	them of Ap	ppropris	ater(a) wyning wels	r. pretlam	of Completion, William	stier bilding, the	STR. 8.3	202				the start with	
					Date of Appropriation	e (friarily)	100 13.	1987	; Amore v	of Appropriatio	PIPILE HELINA	the first sector and the sector secto	
Tatal Acreo	P				-\$010		Head Exte	-122 81	M				
	DESC	RIPTI	ON OF LAND FOR	WHICH 1	THIS APPROPRIAT	ION IS DETERMINE	DANDE	STABLIS	HED CH				
TWP	RANGE	SEC	NE 1/4		NW 34	SW 14		SE 14		TOTAL	5		
1000	10.22		NEW NWW SWW	SE% NE	RUMPRAR SE	NESENBLISTIS	SEN N	5.7.000	SWN SEN	0.0010000	-		
_													
1													
_													
					CONTINUED ON ATTA	IN THE ATLE OVER							
											-		
100											5		
											-		
-		-									-		
The riggs	Judg by	1829 13	ntirect and establis	hed in Simi	tel to jostenet film			and the	use la restric	tel to the play	re where acquired and t	a the purpose for wh	
Silli													
P-R	STIMOSY	NILL R	10F. L	CORDEN &	TASSETT.	President of the St.	to there a	et Cartrol.	hive beceards	set oy heat 5	tis _Ets day of _Her	ester . 1.0. 1991	
and caused	the integra	Guite	Board to be hereunts	atrixed.									
	110	8	1.0						71	21	77-11	-	
Attestor	uu	(An)	Leung	em)	D	officia boretary		-	1	anon (	N. Joanes	Frecider	
11111	OCTAM TO:	_	4	_							11		

Water permit or right but no water



Water in the creek that's protected by an enforceable regulatory mechanism

# **River system or just a segment?**

## A little water, some of the time?



## A seasonally adjusted flow regime?





# Protection vs. Restoration vs.

#### **Mitigation**





- Upside Down Instream Flow
- Water is usually available
- Public land issue



- Bottom up instream flow
- Need to find water
- Private land issue



- Avoid loss / maintain habitat quantity & quality if possible
- Improve / restore habitat quantity & quality when needed
- Reservoirs don't mitigate river losses

# **Instream Flow?**

# A single, year-round flow? How about instream USE? How about instream flow REGIME? What about LAKES & RESERVOIRS?

#### Instream flow isn't just about the water





How well we integrate each element will affect how the world looks and our quality of life.

# Legal Nature

# **Modeled Nature**

Observed Nature
True Nature

Adapted from Kull (1998)

# **Each Situation is Unique**

Rivers and the species that live there change in predictable ways over time and distance.



#### What about regional prescriptions?

Typically only work if they protect high levels Site-specific studies needed when significant depletions are involved

### **Rivers are defined by 5 elements**



Understanding how the parts go together is crucial for managing rivers, making good laws, and informing the public



#### How much water can we take out of a river?

#### Marginal

Slight

#### Serious Extreme



# **Methods Evolution**

# 1970's – Hydrologic statistics 1980's – Quantitative biology models 1990's – Ecosystem processes 2000's – Holistic methods

# **Types of Methods**

- Standard-setting methods

   Estimate single level or threshold of flow
- Incremental methods

  Evaluate habitat value vs. flow relationship

  Multiple component methods
  - Integrated analyses / multiple outcomes

# Habitat Modeling Caveats

- Models manage uncertainty –don't eliminate it
- No straight-line relationship between flow and habitat.
- A flow that's good for one species may be detrimental to others.
- A flow that maximizes habitat in one stream segment may not provide much in another.
- There isn't a single "best" flow think flow regimes.



River systems were built and are maintained by different magnitudes of discharge occurring over time and space. (Hill et al. 1991)

#### Inter- and intra annual flow variability matters





The problem with minimum flows . . .
#### **Minimum Flow REGIME**



Richter et al. 2011

# Minimum Flood Flow?

ATTACAL DESCRIPTION OF THE

Allow with - sur all official distribution in the

#### Minimum flow . . .

- for a river is a <u>hydrologic</u> term and derived from gage data.
  It is a very low flow that is typically derived from gage data.
- needed for a river is an <u>ecological</u> (biological) term that can be relatively large and seasonally variable.

#### What about average monthly flow regimes?



#### **Average flows = average habitat**



### Does the recommended flow have to be physically available all the time to be feasible?

Flows up to the recommended amount when naturally available usually do the trick

#### **Hydrology Model Considerations**

- Low to moderate effort
- Need long-term gage data
- Good for describing hydrology
- Relationship with biology is assumed
- Need other tools to assess needs for other flow elements

## Biology

-



#### and the riparian corridor

#### **Biology**

## embraces all aquatic organisms in the stream . . .



## **Overall Habitat Suitability**



#### **Biology Model Considerations**

- Emphasis has been on fish vs. other organisms and riparian needs
- Focus on short-term survival or habitat suitability – fish numbers is elusive
- Need other tools to assess needs for other flow elements

## Geomorphology





#### **Geomorphic condition is a function of:**



- <u>Sediment</u> addition or removal
- <u>Flow</u> addition or removal
- <u>Channel form</u> (alteration)

#### Geomorphology Model Considerations

- Usually have broad confidence intervals
- Address long-term physical habitat (not tied to species)
- Need to specify timing, duration, ramping
- Need other tools to assess needs for other flow elements

## Water Quality



# Water temperature ... any time of day or year





## Ice formation processes are a function of flow and temperature

#### Water Quality Considerations

- Addressed long before water quantity
- Focus on minimum flows and thresholds
- Don't identify ecological trade-offs
- Need other tools to assess needs for other flow elements

### Connectivity



#### Lateral Connectivity (Floodplains)

- Fish & other aquatic organisms
- Nutrients & minerals
- Woody material
- Sediment and bed material

## **Longitudinal Connectivity**

## **Vertical Connectivity**



Losing to deep groundwater

#### Losing to shallow groundwater

#### Gaining from shallow groundwater

Losing and gaining from groundwater

## **Temporal Connectivity**



#### Holistic (integrated) approaches



#### **Ecological Limits of Hydrologic Alteration (ELOHA)**



#### Even simple ecosystem modeling can be complicated





### **Public Involvement**

#### **Education**





## **Institutional Capacity**

- Formal instream flow program
- At least a strategic plan
- Staff
- Budget
- Training
- Interagency coordination



## **The Problem?**

Institutional capacity is one of the easiest ways to undermine water management programs

#### International Instream Flow Program Initiative

A Status Report of State and Provincial Fish and Wildlife Agency Instream Flow Activities and Strategies for the Future

Final Report for Multi-State Conservation Grant Project WY M-7-T

February 2009





Instream Flow Council www.instreamflowcouncil.org



# Top five needed resources by F&W agencies:

- More staff
- More supportive laws
- More knowledgeable public
- More actively supportive public
- More supportive regulations and policies

Not more or better scientific methods



## **Legal**



- Doctrines
- State laws
- Federal laws
- Interstate agreements


## So what do effective laws do?



## **Effective instream flow laws...**

Acknowledge the complexity of stream ecology
Aren't limited to minimum flow (no such thing)
Use all the words (flow regime, water levels)
Provide certainty and control just like for any other water use

## Water Right Doctrines Matter

- Prior Appropriation
- Riparian
- Regulated Riparianism
- Reasonable Use
- Public Trust



